

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,169		10/29/2003	You-Young Jung	1349.1266	7130
21171	7590	02/17/2006	•	EXAMINER	
STAAS &		Y LLP		WALLING, MEAGAN S	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	GTON, DO	20005	2863		
				DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,169	JUNG, YOU-YOUNG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Meagan S. Walling	2863
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. a reply within the statutory minimum of thire eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/1/05.	
,— · · _ ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 2-6 and 8-17 is/are pending in th	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 8-12</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International Bu	•	ŭ
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.
	·	
Attachment(c)		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/695,169

Art Unit: 2863

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 17 is rejected 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for claiming both an apparatus and the method steps of using the apparatus. Claim 17 is not clear as to the product claim, but it's clear to the process of the method. See MPEP 2173.05(p).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim is directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention. See MPEP 2173.05(p).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okamoto et al. (US 6,989,845).

Page 3

Regarding claim 13, Okamoto et al. teaches a motion detector detecting motion information values representing presence and absence of a motion for each pixel/block of an input nth image field (column 5, lines 24-27); and a motion calculator adjusting according to a formulaic value the detected motion information values of the input nth image field based upon motion information values of an input n+1th image field (column 5, lines 57-63 and Fig. 24).

Regarding claim 17, Okamoto et al. teaches removing spurious still regions and spurious motion regions during an image field motion detection, based upon a limited added to or a limited subtracted from, pixel motion information values of a current image field using only immediately preceding and succeeding image fields to the current image field (column 5, lines 40-44).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14- 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would 4 be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claim 14 is the inclusion of the limitation that the motion calculator calculates a mixed valued (a) according to the adjusted detected motion information values of the input nth image field and outputs the mixed value to a deinterlacing processor outputting an image frame based upon the mixed value. It is this

limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable.

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claim 15 is the inclusion of the limitation that the motion information values are adjusted according to a formula V(i,j) = V(i,j) + T1 or a formula V(i,j) = V(i,j) - T2, and wherein the V(i,j) represents a motion information value for jth pixel on line ith of the input nth image field, and T1 and T2 are random first and second values within a predetermined range, respectively. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable.

#### 5. Claims 2-6 and 8-12 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Please see the previous office action and applicant's response for reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S. Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,169

Art Unit: 2863

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

Mora.